

PERSPECTIVE

Open Access

Refractive surgery today: is there innovation or stagnation?

Jorge Alio^{1,2}

Refractive surgery, both corneal and intraocular, has undergone an explosive evolution during the last 25 years. The first excimer laser surgery performed now already over 25 years ago is a historical landmark which started the scientific age of corneal refractive surgery.

Before that momentous occasion, refractive surgery was a buccaneer procedure that lacked consistent scientific knowledge about the long-term outcomes of the procedures that were practiced (mainly radial and astigmatic keratotomy). In other words, there was a complete lack of objective outcome analysis coupled with almost no perspective on what the future development of this subspecialty was going to hold [1]. A classic example of this is Radial Keratotomy, which was extensively performed in Europe, the US and Latin America at the end of the 80's and early 90's. Only the PERK study was able to detect and announce the trend towards hyperopic flattening of these corneas years later when they approached the technique in a systematic way by studying a relatively small number of cases [2-4].

The introduction of excimer laser in the practice of refractive surgery was an exciting innovation. Large investments from the industry and even from doctors and hospitals created a huge demand for adequate information on outcomes as the possibilities of large-scale application of this technology were immediately seen. This challenge forced the companies involved in the ophthalmic development of the excimer laser technology to immediately perform clinical studies or refractive corneal surgery, which reached its peak in the first and second decades after its introduction in Europe. Almost everything that could be known about efficacy, predictability and stability, complications, technological development and innovation was then reported and accomplished in these two decades [5,6]. Currently, corneal refractive surgery performed by excimer laser has matured considerably and is able to cover from +6 to -12 diopters of

sphere and up to 6 diopters of astigmatism with good, predictable and safe outcomes [7-10]. Hyperopia has been one of the frontiers approached with caution by modern corneal refractive surgeons, but recent evidence has confirmed its feasibility for up to 7 diopters with acceptable induction of HOA, equivalent to those induced by previous generations of excimer laser corneal surgical devices in hyperopic corrections up to +3 spherical diopters [10].

The development of intraocular phakic lenses followed a similar process [11]. The first phakic lenses were implanted in the 50's with very doubtful outcomes, but it was in the late 80's and early 90's when this type of surgery was successfully accomplished in Europe. Years later, it was reported that these lenses could be anterior chamber angle-iris- and even lens-supported (retro-iridian). The indications and preliminary study of the patient has been enriched by advanced technologies such as anterior segment ocular coherence tomography (OCT), which makes the indications much more controllable. As is often the case with innovation, phakic intraocular lenses were initially considered with scepticism and criticized by many surgeons, only to be acknowledged later on as having a great potential in the correction of high refractive errors of all types [12,13].

In parallel, taking into account everything that has been mentioned above, major improvements in diagnostic equipment have provided refractive surgeons with a vast amount of information regarding the quality of refractive and optical conditions of the cornea using different technologies of corneal topography mapping and analysis, study of the optical properties of the eye by double pass and ray tracing analysis diagnostic imaging of the cornea such as the very high frequency ultrasound, laser interferometry, OCT, in vivo analysis of the different components of the corneal anatomy such as specular microscopy of the corneal endothelium and confocal microscopy, corneal biomechanical analysis and emerging techniques for the analysis of the tears and the condition of the ocular surface. All of these today give unique opportunities to

Correspondence: jlalio@vissum.com

¹Ophthalmology, Miguel Hernandez University, Alicante, Spain

²Vissum Corporation, Alicante, Spain

better understand the condition of the eyes, which are candidates for refractive surgery, and the possible outcomes and consequences of the different refractive surgical procedures.

More recently, new corneal refractive surgical techniques are emerging with femtosecond corneal surgery being one of the most conspicuous and outstanding innovations in the field. At present, we can state that in myopic cases of up to 10 diopters with astigmatism up to 3, intrastromal femtosecond laser assisted lenticular corneal excision (Flex-Smile) is feasible and has a relatively similar predictability to excimer laser surgery with the potential advantages with respect to corneal stability [14–16]. If this technique is demonstrated to provide advantages over excimer laser surgery, femtosecond corneal surgery might find indications in larger refractive defects and in hyperopia. The future is open for these innovations as they seem to have potential advantages concerning the induction of postoperative corneal aberrations.

Other important examples of emerging refractive surgical techniques fall into the field of pseudophakic intraocular lenses. It has been well demonstrated that high hyperopic and myopic eyes have different optical profiles versus the normal emetropic eye [17]. Bearing this in mind, we can acknowledge that an adequately customized phakic intraocular lens may very well be a great benefit for the implanted eye if properly indicated seeing that we have access to so much information that allows us to customize such intraocular devices.

Future important areas of progress in modern refractive surgery will be based probably on the refractive use of modern corneoplastics techniques such as newly designed intraocular rings and segments, and corneal stiffening techniques such as collagen crosslinking, an emerging process that has just been explored.

Concerning the potential for visual improvement in handicapped eyes such as anisometropic amblyopia, possible future development of adaptive optic-based intraocular lenses may increase the visual potential of these eyes. This relatively new area of clinical research may have an enormous impact on the quality of life of visually handicapped patients and even normal patients who possibly could achieve the “super vision” paradigm that started, but failed when customized excimer laser surgery was introduced in early 2000 [18].

In summary, refractive surgery has not and will never reach stagnation as it affects the quality of vision and in turn, the quality of life for the average human being. The tremendous progress that has occurred over the last 25 years that I have witnessed is going to be followed by more sophisticated, highly scientific, and highly technologically developed refractive surgery procedures that will improve the quality of life of our patients. This will be done so by increasing the quality of vision and

providing spectacle independence in a different way from the initial approaches performed over 25 years ago, and which started with so little knowledge. Never before in the history of ophthalmology has a subspecialty such as refractive surgery emerged from nothing to attain such a high level of expectation and innovation in technological advancement. As Arthur C. Clarke, the famous writer stated, “Any technology reaching very high levels of development might be indistinguishable from magic”. Today, the sophistication of refractive surgery is indeed reaching a level of magic from which only further improvements should be expected.

Competing interests

The author declares that he has no competing interests.

Received: 29 August 2014 Accepted: 31 August 2014

Published online: 16 October 2014

References

1. Alio JL, Salz JJ: In 1977–2007: Commemorating the ISRS/AAO and Global Refractive Surgery. Edited by Alió JL, Salz JJ. USA: ISRS/AAO; 2007.
2. Lynn MJ, Waring GO 3rd, Sperduto RD: Factors affecting outcome and predictability of radial keratotomy in the PERK Study. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1987, **105**:42–51.
3. Waring GO 3rd, Lynn MJ, Nizam A, Kutner MH, Cowden JW, Culbertson W, Laibson PR, McDonald MB, Nelson JD, Obstbaum SA, et al: Results of the Prospective Evaluation of Radial Keratotomy (PERK) Study five years after surgery. The Perk Study Group. *Ophthalmology* 1991, **98**:1164–1176.
4. Waring GO 3rd, Lynn MJ, McDonnell PJ: Results of the prospective evaluation of radial keratotomy (PERK) study 10 years after surgery. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1994, **112**:1298–1308.
5. Solomon KD, Fernández de Castro LE, Sandoval HP, Biber JM, Groat B, Neff KD, Ying MS, French JW, Donnenfeld ED, Lindstrom RL, Joint LASIK Study Task Force: LASIK world literature review: quality of life and patient satisfaction. *Ophthalmology* 2009, **116**:691–701.
6. Excimer laser photorefractive keratectomy (PRK) for myopia and astigmatism. American Academy of Ophthalmology. *Ophthalmology* 1999, **106**:422–437.
7. Alio JL, Vega-Estrada A, Piñero DP: Laser-assisted in situ keratomileusis in high levels of myopia with the amaris excimer laser using optimized aspherical profiles. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2011, **152**:954–963.
8. Alio JL, Pachkoria K, El Aswad A, Plaza-Puche AB: Laser-assisted in situ keratomileusis in high mixed astigmatism with optimized, fast-repetition and cyclotorsion control excimer laser. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2013, **155**:829–836.
9. Alió JL, El Aswad A, Vega-Estrada A, Javaloy J: Laser in situ keratomileusis for high hyperopia (>5.0 diopters) using optimized aspheric profiles: efficacy and safety. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2013, **39**(4):519–527.
10. El Aswad A, Vega-Estrada A, Arba S, Alio J, Plaza-Puche AB, Wróbel-Dudzińska D: Anterior corneal surface aberrations following excimer laser correction of high hyperopia. *J Refract Surg*. In review process.
11. Alio JL, Perez-Santonja JJ: Refractive Surgery with Phakic IOLs. In *Fundamentals and Clinical Practice*. Second edition. New Delhi, India: Jaypee Brothers Medical Publishers (P) Ltd; 2013.
12. Güell JL, Morral M, Kook D, Kohnen T: Phakic intraocular lenses part 1: historical overview, current models, selection criteria, and surgical techniques. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2010, **36**:1976–1993.
13. Kohnen T, Kook D, Morral M, Güell JL: Phakic intraocular lenses: part 2: results and complications. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2010, **36**:2168–2194.
14. Sekundo W, Kunert KS, Blum M: Small incision corneal refractive surgery using the small incision lenticule extraction (SMILE) procedure for the correction of myopia and myopic astigmatism: results of a 6 month prospective study. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2011, **95**:335–339.
15. Blum M, Kunert KS, Voßmerbäumer U, Sekundo W: Femtosecond lenticule extraction (ReLEx) for correction of hyperopia - first results. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2013, **251**:349–355.
16. Vestergaard A, Ivarsen A, Asp S, Hjortdal JØ: Femtosecond (FS) laser vision correction procedure for moderate to high myopia: a prospective study

of ReLEx(®) flex and comparison with a retrospective study of FS-laser in situ keratomileusis. *Acta Ophthalmol* 2013, **91**:355–362.

17. Fimia A, Alío JL, Pascual I, Beléndez A: **New Theoretical Matrix Formula for Intraocular Lens Calculation Using the Optimal Bending Factor.** *J Cataract Refract Surg* 1993, **19**:293–296.
18. Smadja D, Reggiani-Mello G, Santhiago MR, Krueger RR: **Wavefront ablation profiles in refractive surgery: description, results, and limitations.** *J Refract Surg* 2012, **28**:224–232.

doi:10.1186/s40662-014-0004-0

Cite this article as: Alío: Refractive surgery today: is there innovation or stagnation? *Eye and Vision* 2014 1:4.

**Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central
and take full advantage of:**

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

